

From: Jennifer Frost
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2026 14:02
To: Morgan and Morecambe OWFTA
Cc: Jennifer FROST; andrew.snowden.mp@parliament.uk; Strike Lane Bursar
Subject: Fw: Your Ref: EN020032 / Application by Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and Morecambe Offshore

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

Hello

I am writing to you regarding the planning application for onshore development relating to the Morgan and Morecambe offshore windfarm.

I am registered as an interested party – [REDACTED] **Jennifer C Frost.**

I live with my family in Freckleton.

It is my firm belief that the proposed cable route and substation building will cause significant harm and risk to human life in the areas it affects.

The increased traffic in the area will cause irreversible harm to children's health, they will be affected by the congestion and pollution for many years.

The proposed sub-station/s are close to Strike Lane primary school and Carr Hill High school and there are many schools, parks and playgrounds along the proposed route.

I chose this area as a home, and this school for my children due to its rural location.

I do not accept that there is any form of mitigation or compensation that could replace a healthy childhood or a child's life.

In December 2020, Southwark Coroner's Court ruled that, unlawful levels of air pollution "made a material contribution " to the asthma death of nine-year old [REDACTED].

Please can the planning inspectorate assure me that the applicant/s have accepted their responsibility for the loss of life that this project will be responsible for?

Or, is the UK government prepared to be responsible for the loss of quality of life and ultimately loss of life of children on the Fylde coast and areas affected?

When I attended a public hearing with the applicants, I was shocked to hear that the applicants had 'googled' data from **2023** to assess levels of traffic in the areas they would be drilling.

Please can the planning inspectorate assure me that the applicant/s are using up to date information when assessing air and land traffic levels (both existing and proposed)?

It is my firm belief that the planning proposal should be denied by the secretary of state.

I have copied in both the school and my local member of parliament in order to keep a record of this communication.

Thank you for your time.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

Jennifer Frost

[REDACTED]

E: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message, or files associated with this message, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Messages sent to and from us may be monitored. Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this message, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company